

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/782,722 02/13/2001		Harry E. Gruber	0003.US00	9420
	27309	7590 09/07/2006		EXAMINER	
	KINTERA INC. ATTN: LEGAL DEPT.			CHENCINSKI, SIEGFRIED E	
		TON ROAD, SUITE 240		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			3628	
				DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T-2	- 				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/782,722	GRUBER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Siegfried E. Chencinski	3628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 August 2006</u> .					
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19,42 and 46</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19,42 and 46</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/782,722 Page 2

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1, 4-19, 42 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "INERTNET ARCHIVE WAYBACKMACHINE" @
"www.archive.org/web/web.php " Searched for "igive.com" and selected 14 web pages created in Nov 10, 2000 by "igive.com" and hereafter reference "igive.com", in view of Ziarno (US Patent 5,663,547).

With regard to claim 1, igive.com teaches a method for soliciting charitable donation, the method comprising the steps of:

- hosting a website having information about a fundraising campaign, or an organization (SEE igive.com page #2 "What if?...up to 12% of each purchase you made went to a worthy cause close to home'? * add your favorite cause to our list * or choose from 12180 already listed ... Its Working! * \$776,875.89 raised for causes. *
 \$278,353.36 raised for causes just this year! * View the list of checks sent to causes).
- providing one or more electronic <u>catalogs</u> listing one or more <u>items or</u> events that are available for purchase by online shoppers through the website (SEE igive.com page #3 "mall directory" and page #13 "Cooking & Cookware" ...
 Cooking.com Cookware, cookbooks, tools, cutlery - everything for the home chef... Chefs Catalog.com -The leading **catalog** retailer of high-end, top-quality kitchenware for professional and home chefs alike);
- receiving an order from an online shopper purchasing one or more selected items; and

Art Unit: 3628

• providing one or more suggestions on the amount of donation, the suggestions intended to encourage and motivate a shopper to make a donation (SEE igive.com page #2 " What if?... up to 12% of each purchase you made went to a worthy cause close to home? "Its Working! * \$776,875.89 raised for causes. * \$278,353.36 raised for causes just this year! * View the list of checks sent to causes" and further SEE page #5 "Good News You Can Use" . . . "WALNUT AVENUE WOMEN'S CENTER (Santa Cruz, CA) "WE buy groceries to feed the battered women and their children who seek shelter and safety at our center.

We need and appreciate your continued support" -Jennifer Obrien").

It was well known at the time of Applicant's invention that many charitable donations had been soliciting by presenting suggested giving amounts, the dollar level depending on the context. For example, many organizations have been making online and mail solicitations by presenting several donation amounts to the prospective donor, such as \$10, \$25, \$35, \$50, \$100 or other \$..... For known high income or high net worth prospects, the suggested amounts have been in the thousands or even millions of dollars, especially in the case of major capital campaigns. Ziarno discloses electronically providing suggestions of varying donation amounts (Col. 24, II. 31 – Col. 25, I. 2). In this case, Ziarno teaches the suggestion of three suggested amounts in higher or lower dollar levels according to the perceived or suggested credit status or affluence of the credit card holder (VISA Gold ® vs. VISA CLASSIC ®). Therefore, it would have been obvious to the ordinary practitioner of the art at the time of Applicant's invention to combine the disclosures of igive.com with those of Ziarno in order to provide a method for soliciting online charitable donations which includes the suggestion to the prospective donor of one or more donation amounts, motivated by a desire to provide an apparatus and method that allows a fund-raising organization or gift recipient to capture the impulse of a contributor or gift giver to make a contribution or gift commitment and consummate a contribution or gift prior to the dissipation of the impulse of the contributor or gift giver to make the contribution or gift commitment (Ziarno, Col. 1, II. 57-63).

With regard to claims 4-6, neither igive.com nor Ziarno illustrate the method

Art Unit: 3628

step of providing information about the amount of money the fundraising campaign intends to raise and the plans regarding the amount raised and further comprising the step of suggesting a donation amount that will allow the charity to reach its goals further comprising the step of providing information about the average amount of donation from prior donors. However examiner declares OFFICIAL NOTICE that such routine information was commonly provided by the charity to the donor and as a means educating the donor of the cause and extent of need as a means of motivating the donor to give the right amount.

With regard to claims 7 and 8, neither igive.com nor Ziarno illustrate the method step of providing reviews written by prior donors about the charitable causes and their donations and allowing donors to write reviews about the charitable cause and their donation. However examiner declares OFFICIAL NOTICE that such reviews were commonly done and requested by charity as a means of educating the donor of the cause and extent of need as a means of motivating the donor to give the right amount.

With regard to claim 9, igive.com teaches the method step of identifying the geographic location of a donor (during the registration process it is inherent that the donor provides his home address for billing and delivery and the home address given has an inherently known geographic location).

With regard to claim 10, igive.com teaches the method step of providing information about charitable activities in the donor's location (SEE igive.com page #5 "Good News You Can Use" . . . "WALNUT AVENUE WOMEN'S CENTER (Santa Cruz, CA) "WE buy groceries to feed the battered women and their children who seek shelter and safety at our center. We need and appreciate your continued support" — Jennifer Obrien" wherein all the people living in Santa Cruz will like hearing about this).

With regard to claims 11 and 12, igive.com teaches the method step of providing a record of the donor's prior donation history and donor's prior donation amount (SEE igive.com page # 10 "As a member, you have access to timely reports of your earnings and all funds distributed to your cause").

Art Unit: 3628

With regard to claim 13, igive.com discloses the step of suggesting a donation amount that is a predetermined percentage of the total amount of purchase (SEE igive.com page 13 "10.0 % for your cause")

With regard to claim 14, igive.com discloses the method step of providing information about the amount of donation made by the donor's friends, family or coworkers (It is inherent that all people are provided the same level of service at igive.com).

With regard to claims 15-17, igive.com discloses a method wherein the organization is a charitable organization, a political action committee or a political organization (SEE igive.com page # 2 * add your favorite cause to our list page 4 * you can list ANY cause, large or small).

With regard to claim 18, igive.com discloses the method step of providing a report having tax related information, the report including the amount of charitable donation and the amount that is tax deductible. (SEE igive.com page # 10 "* You choose the cause that receives your donation. We provide you with information supplied by the cause as to the tax deductibility of the donations made to that cause")

With regard to claim 19, igive.com discloses the method step of e-mailing the report to the donor (SEE igive.com page #2 "join for free with your e-mail address" and one is motivated to use e-mail because it's the low coat way to send reports.)

With regard to claim 42, igive.com discloses the method step of providing one or more suggestions on the amount of donation (igive.com was found above in claim 1 to read on this limitation).

With regard to claim 46, igive.com provides a method for soliciting charitable donation, the method comprising the steps of:

- hosting a website having one or more catalogs of items for purchase by online shoppers (igive.com was found above in claims 1-19 to read on these limitations);
- providing one or more suggestions on the amount of a donation to a charitable cause, the suggestions intended to encourage and motivate a shopper to make a donation (igive.com and Ziarno were found above in claims 1-19 to read on

Art Unit: 3628

these limitations).

2. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "igive.com" in view of Ziarno applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of David King, "SOLICITING VIRTUAL MONEY", Library Journal. New York: Fall 2000. pg. 39, 3 pgs teaches.

With regard to claims 2 and 3, igive.com does not illustrate the method step of displaying one or more virtual plaques honoring donors and the step of updating the virtual plaques when a donation is made.

King discloses this above feature (SEE King page 40 "The goal of cyberplaquing, also known as electronic plaguing or electronic recognition, is quite simply recognition. The concept of memorializing or honoring a special friend or benefactor of the organization has been around awhile. Naming a wing or room of a building is one form; those making more modest donations may have their names placed on an entryway wall or even individual items of furniture. Cvberplaquing is nothing more than providing that recognition in an online environment. Moreover, rather than just giving a name and date, with a cyberplaque, historical information about a company or a biography about the person can be provided. Different levels of donations may earn differing levels of recognition, including perhaps pictures of donors, dedications, testimonials, and in-depth information. Benefactors have an "online brag sheet" of sorts, which can be used to encourage their friends and colleagues to match their own contributions. The University of Pennsylvania Library has done a fantastic job of honoring benefactors with cyberplaques. Currently, eight cyberplaque pages are linked from the "Individual Benefactors" pages of the Friends of the Library web site. Each cyberplaque gives background information on the benefactor-usually a short list of that persons major achievements. Information about the gift is also given. whether it was money or a rare collection. If a rare collection has been given, the collection is described in detail. If a room or building has been named in honor of the person, the room/buildings use is explained. Penn's cyberrecognition doesn't stop with individuals. Reunion classes, corporations, and foundations are also listed. For

Application/Control Number: 09/782,722 Page 7

Art Unit: 3628

example, the class of 1968 has a cyberplaque describing the new reference center the alumni helped fund. ")

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the igive.com method to clearly add the additional step of "displaying one or more virtual plaques honoring donors" as taught by King because King teaches motivation "Benefactors have an "online brag sheet" of sorts, which can be used to encourage their friends and colleagues to match their own contributions."

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 46 filed August 14, 2006 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Siegfried Chencinski whose telephone number is 571-272-6792. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 9am to 6pm. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough, can be reached on (571) 272-6799.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington D.C. 20231
or faxed to:

Art Unit: 3628

(571)273-8300 [Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

(571) 273-6792 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the address found on the above USPTO web site in Alexandria, VA.

SEC September 5, 2006

> FRANTZY POINVIL PRIMARY EXAMINER Au 3628

Page 8